


Institute for Logic, Language and Computation

**BOUNDED CONTRACTION AND
MANY-VALUED SEMANTICS**

Andreja Prijatelj

ILLC Prepublication Series
for Mathematical Logic and Foundations ML-93-04



University of Amsterdam

The ILLC Prepublication Series

1990

Logic, Semantics and Philosophy of Language

- LP-90-01 Jaap van der Does A Generalized Quantifier Logic for Naked Infinitives
 LP-90-02 Jeroen Groenendijk, Martin Stokhof Dynamic Montague Grammar
 LP-90-03 Renate Bartsch Concept Formation and Concept Composition
 LP-90-04 Aarne Ranta Intuitionistic Categorical Grammar
 LP-90-05 Patrick Blackburn Nominal Tense Logic
 LP-90-06 Gennaro Chierchia The Variability of Impersonal Subjects
 LP-90-07 Gennaro Chierchia Anaphora and Dynamic Logic
 LP-90-08 Herman Hendriks Flexible Montague Grammar
 LP-90-09 Paul Dekker The Scope of Negation in Discourse, towards a Flexible Dynamic Montague grammar
 LP-90-10 Theo M.V. Janssen Models for Discourse Markers
 LP-90-11 Johan van Benthem General Dynamics
 LP-90-12 Serge Lapierre A Functional Partial Semantics for Intensional Logic
 LP-90-13 Zhisheng Huang Logics for Belief Dependence
 LP-90-14 Jeroen Groenendijk, Martin Stokhof Two Theories of Dynamic Semantics
 LP-90-15 Maarten de Rijke The Modal Logic of Inequality
 LP-90-16 Zhisheng Huang, Karen Kwast Awareness, Negation and Logical Omniscience
 LP-90-17 Paul Dekker Existential Disclosure, Implicit Arguments in Dynamic Semantics

Mathematical Logic and Foundations

- ML-90-01 Harold Schellinx Isomorphisms and Non-Isomorphisms of Graph Models
 ML-90-02 Jaap van Oosten A Semantical Proof of De Jongh's Theorem
 ML-90-03 Yde Venema Relational Games
 ML-90-04 Maarten de Rijke Unary Interpretability Logic
 ML-90-05 Domenico Zambella Sequences with Simple Initial Segments
 ML-90-06 Jaap van Oosten Extension of Lifschitz' Realizability to Higher Order Arithmetic, and a Solution to a Problem of F. Richman
 ML-90-07 Maarten de Rijke A Note on the Interpretability Logic of Finitely Axiomatized Theories
 ML-90-08 Harold Schellinx Some Syntactical Observations on Linear Logic
 ML-90-09 Dick de Jongh, Duccio Pianigiani Solution of a Problem of David Guaspari
 ML-90-10 Michiel van Lambalgen Randomness in Set Theory
 ML-90-11 Paul C. Gilmore The Consistency of an Extended NaDSet

Computation and Complexity Theory

- CT-90-01 John Tromp, Peter van Emde Boas Associative Storage Modification Machines
 CT-90-02 Sieger van Denneheuvel, Gerard R. Renardel de Lavalette A Normal Form for PCSJ Expressions
 CT-90-03 Ricard Gavaldà, Leen Torenvliet, Osamu Watanabe, José L. Balcázar Generalized Kolmogorov Complexity in Relativized Separations
 CT-90-04 Harry Buhrman, Edith Spaan, Leen Torenvliet Bounded Reductions
 CT-90-05 Sieger van Denneheuvel, Karen Kwast Efficient Normalization of Database and Constraint Expressions
 CT-90-06 Michiel Smid, Peter van Emde Boas Dynamic Data Structures on Multiple Storage Media, a Tutorial
 CT-90-07 Kees Doets Greatest Fixed Points of Logic Programs
 CT-90-08 Fred de Geus, Ernest Rotterdam, Sieger van Denneheuvel, Peter van Emde Boas Physiological Modelling using RL

- CT-90-09 Roel de Vrijer Unique Normal Forms for Combinatory Logic with Parallel Conditional, a case study in conditional rewriting
Other Prepublications
 X-90-01 A.S. Troelstra Remarks on Intuitionism and the Philosophy of Mathematics, Revised Version
 X-90-02 Maarten de Rijke Some Chapters on Interpretability Logic
 X-90-03 L.D. Beklemishev On the Complexity of Arithmetical Interpretations of Modal Formulae
 X-90-04 Annual Report 1989
 X-90-05 Valentin Shehtman Derived Sets in Euclidean Spaces and Modal Logic
 X-90-06 Valentin Goranko, Solomon Passy Using the Universal Modality: Gains and Questions
 X-90-07 V.Yu. Shavrukov The Lindenbaum Fixed Point Algebra is Undecidable
 X-90-08 L.D. Beklemishev Provability Logics for Natural Turing Progressions of Arithmetical Theories
 X-90-09 V.Yu. Shavrukov On Rosser's Provability Predicate
 X-90-10 Sieger van Denneheuvel, Peter van Emde Boas An Overview of the Rule Language RL/1
 X-90-11 Alessandra Carbone Provable Fixed points in $\mathcal{I}\Delta_0 + \Omega_1$, revised version
 X-90-12 Maarten de Rijke Bi-Unary Interpretability Logic
 X-90-13 K.N. Ignatiev Dzhaparidze's Polymodal Logic: Arithmetical Completeness, Fixed Point Property, Craig's Property
 X-90-14 L.A. Chagrova Undecidable Problems in Correspondence Theory
 X-90-15 A.S. Troelstra Lectures on Linear Logic


1991

Logic, Semantics and Philosophy of Language

- LP-91-01 Wiebe van der Hoek, Maarten de Rijke Generalized Quantifiers and Modal Logic
 LP-91-02 Frank Veltman Defaults in Update Semantics
 LP-91-03 Willem Groeneveld Dynamic Semantics and Circular Propositions
 LP-91-04 Makoto Kanazawa The Lambek Calculus enriched with Additional Connectives
 LP-91-05 Zhisheng Huang, Peter van Emde Boas The Schoenmakers Paradox: Its Solution in a Belief Dependence Framework
 LP-91-06 Zhisheng Huang, Peter van Emde Boas Belief Dependence, Revision and Persistence
 LP-91-07 Henk Verkuyl, Jaap van der Does The Semantics of Plural Noun Phrases
 LP-91-08 Victor Sánchez Valencia Categorical Grammar and Natural Reasoning
 LP-91-09 Arthur Nieuwendijk Semantics and Comparative Logic
 LP-91-10 Johan van Benthem Logic and the Flow of Information

Mathematical Logic and Foundations

- ML-91-01 Yde Venema Cylindric Modal Logic
 ML-91-02 Alessandro Berarducci, Rineke Verbrugge On the Metamathematics of Weak Theories
 ML-91-03 Domenico Zambella On the Proofs of Arithmetical Completeness for Interpretability Logic
 ML-91-04 Raymond Hoofman, Harold Schellinx Collapsing Graph Models by Preorders
 ML-91-05 A.S. Troelstra History of Constructivism in the Twentieth Century
 ML-91-06 Inge Bethke Finite Type Structures within Combinatory Algebras
 ML-91-07 Yde Venema Modal Derivation Rules
 ML-91-08 Inge Bethke Going Stable in Graph Models
 ML-91-09 V.Yu. Shavrukov A Note on the Diagonalizable Algebras of PA and ZF
 ML-91-10 Maarten de Rijke, Yde Venema Sahlqvist's Theorem for Boolean Algebras with Operators
 ML-91-11 Rineke Verbrugge Feasible Interpretability
 ML-91-12 Johan van Benthem Modal Frame Classes, revisited
Computation and Complexity Theory
 CT-91-01 Ming Li, Paul M.B. Vitányi Kolmogorov Complexity Arguments in Combinatorics
 CT-91-02 Ming Li, John Tromp, Paul M.B. Vitányi How to Share Concurrent Wait-Free Variables
 CT-91-03 Ming Li, Paul M.B. Vitányi Average Case Complexity under the Universal Distribution Equals Worst Case Complexity
 CT-91-04 Sieger van Denneheuvel, Karen Kwast Weak Equivalence
 CT-91-05 Sieger van Denneheuvel, Karen Kwast Weak Equivalence for Constraint Sets
 CT-91-06 Edith Spaan Census Techniques on Relativized Space Classes
 CT-91-07 Karen L. Kwast The Incomplete Database
 CT-91-08 Kees Doets Levationis Laus



Institute for Logic, Language and Computation

Plantage Muidersgracht 24

1018TV Amsterdam

Telephone 020-525.6051, Fax: 020-525.5101

BOUNDED CONTRACTION AND MANY-VALUED SEMANTICS

Andreja Prijatelj

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

University of Amsterdam

Bounded Contraction and Many-Valued Semantics

Andreja Prijatelj
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Amsterdam

Abstract

In this paper we consider a Gentzen-style propositional logic with n -bounded contraction ($n \geq 2$), a version of the contraction rule where $n + 1$ occurrences of a formula may be contracted to n occurrences. In particular, we study expansions of the linear models for n -valued Łukasiewicz logic as models of n -bounded contraction. We present a new proof of the finite axiomatizability of these logics, with the resulting axiomatizations in the form of a Gentzen sequent calculus with additional axioms.

1 Introduction

In this paper we introduce a Gentzen-style propositional logic with n -bounded contraction ($n \geq 2$) and study a specific class of models for these logics.

The n -bounded contraction, briefly n -contraction, is a version of the contraction rule where $n + 1$ occurrences of a formula may be contracted to n occurrences. The restriction on contraction results in splitting of the usual connectives into additive and multiplicative analogues, well-known from linear logic, see Girard [1987], denoted as in Troelstra [1992]. This motivated the choice of connectives and logical rules in the systems presented in section 2. The presence of weakening in the systems considered results in interderivability of n -contraction with the so called n -copy contraction rules, where $2n$ occurrences of a formula may be contracted to n occurrences. It

will be seen, that the latter rules are convenient to formulate and prove the central lemmas for establishing completeness theorems in section 4.

In section 3, we present the simplest and most readily understandable class of models, suitable for illustrating the effects of substituting n -contraction ($n \geq 2$) for full contraction. In fact, these models are just expansions of the well-known linear models for the n -valued Łukasiewicz logics, see Łukasiewicz, Tarski [1930]. We recall, that Łukasiewicz primitive connectives, in our notation, are \sim and \multimap . (Łukasiewicz implication, in fact, is a multiplicative connective, i.e. a linear implication.) Moreover, our models are particular examples of MV-algebras introduced by Chang [1958]. Let us state the result of Chang, relevant to the topic of this paper: a given formula A is provable in \aleph_0 -valued Łukasiewicz logic extended by n -contraction if and only if A is valid for the class of models of k -valued Łukasiewicz logic for every $k = 2, \dots, n$.

In section 4, we give an axiomatization for each of the classes of finite models considered below. To prove the corresponding completeness theorems we shall use a generalization of Kalmár method well-known from the completeness proof of ordinary propositional logic with respect to 2-valued semantics. The problem of finite axiomatizability of n -valued Łukasiewicz logics has attracted many mathematicians ever since Łukasiewicz, in 1920, introduced these logics by the well-known matrix method (see Łukasiewicz, Tarski [1930]). The best-known solutions of that question, are: Lindenbaum in Łukasiewicz, Tarski [1930], Rosser and Turquette in Many-valued Logics [1952] and Tokarz in A Method of Axiomatization of Łukasiewicz Logics [1974]. Two more results by Rosser and Turquette are listed in the literature, namely Axiom Schemes for M-Valued Propositional Calculi [1945] and A Note on the Deductive Completeness of M-Valued Propositional Calculi [1950]. However, the completeness proof given in the former article is somewhat obscure due to a defect in the definition of a notion of an S-sum. On the other hand, the more elegant axiomatization presented in the latter paper is not adequate for Łukasiewicz logics, as observed by the authors themselves. We emphasize that this observation also follows from the fact, that full contraction is derivable in the axiomatic systems considered by Rosser and Turquette. As we shall show below, full contraction is not admissible in any of many-valued Łukasiewicz logics. Let us conclude our brief survey with Cignoli (see Łukasiewicz-Moisil Algebras [1991]) and Tuziak [1988] whose algebraic completeness proofs are based on proper n -valued Moisil algebras and on the Lindenbaum algebra respectively.

The intuition behind our own method of axiomatization of n -valued

Lukasiewicz logics shares an underlying basic idea with some of the publications mentioned above, namely that of encoding in a formula a certain "truth-value" (see Rosser, Turquette [1945] and [1952]). However, here we consider different primitive connectives and introduce a new construction of such a formula. Moreover, due to presence of bounded contraction in our systems we can present a simpler proof yielding different, more perspicuous axiomatization in a Gentzen-style formulation. In fact, the initially given Gentzen system for each of our logics is extended by the axiom-schemes which encode point-wise definitions of the operations in models corresponding to $+$ and \sqcup respectively. Moreover, an additional axiom-scheme is an n -valued analogue of the 2-valued classical tautology $P \vee \sim P$.

In section 5, we consider the intersection of all the systems that are complete for the respective classes of finite models. We show that the intersection is complete for the class of linear models based on $Q \cap [0, 1]$ and that it is finitely axiomatizable.

2 Systems with Bounded Contraction

The system with n -contraction, \mathbf{PL}_n , for any natural number $n \geq 2$, is given by the following axioms and rules.

Axiom scheme

$$A \Longrightarrow A$$

Logical rules

- left and right negation rules

$$L_{\sim} \frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \sim A \Longrightarrow \Delta} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, A \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \sim A, \Delta} \quad R_{\sim}$$

- left and right disjunction rules

$$L_{\sqcup} \frac{\Gamma, A \Longrightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \sqcup B \Longrightarrow \Delta}$$

$$R_{\sqcup} \frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A_i, \Delta}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A_1 \sqcup A_2, \Delta} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$

- left and right par rules

$$L_{+} \frac{\Gamma_1, A \Longrightarrow \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2, B \Longrightarrow \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, A + B \Longrightarrow \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A, B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A + B, \Delta} \quad R_{+}$$

Structural rules

- left and right weakening rules

$$LW \frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \Longrightarrow \Delta} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A, \Delta} \quad RW$$

- left and right n -contraction rules

$$LC_n \frac{\Gamma, A^{(n+1)} \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A^{(n)} \Longrightarrow \Delta} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A^{(n+1)}, \Delta}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A^{(n)}, \Delta} \quad RC_n$$

where $A^{(k)} = A, A, \dots, A$, i.e. k copies of formula A .

- cut rule

$$CUT \quad \frac{\Gamma_1 \Longrightarrow A, \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2, A \Longrightarrow \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \Longrightarrow \Delta_1, \Delta_2}$$

Throughout the above rules $\Gamma, \Delta, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$ denote finite multisets of formulas.

Comment: Due to the restriction on contraction rules the sequent $A + B \Longrightarrow A \sqcup B$ is not derivable in \mathbf{PL}_n for any $n \geq 2$. In other words, the usual propositional logic connective \vee has been split into the additive and multiplicative one, i.e. \sqcup and $+$ respectively. The following connectives can be defined

- $A \sqcap B := \sim(\sim A \sqcup \sim B)$
- $A \star B := \sim(\sim A + \sim B)$
- $A \rightsquigarrow B := \sim A \sqcup B$
- $A \multimap B := \sim A + B$

The respective rules for each of these connectives, being the same as in classical linear logic, are derivable in \mathbf{PL}_n for $n \geq 2$. We shall also use those connectives and the corresponding rules.

Consider now another possible restriction on contraction rules, namely for given natural number $n \geq 2$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A^{(n)}, A^{(n)} \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A^{(n)} \Longrightarrow \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, \Delta}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A^{(n)}, \Delta}$$

We shall call these rules left and right n -copy contraction respectively. In the presence of weakening these rules are interderivable with the original ones. Thus, we shall, in what follows, use n -copy contraction or n -contraction as convenient to show some meta-properties of the system \mathbf{PL}_n .

To start with

Fact 1 *The cut rule can not be eliminated from the system \mathbf{PL}_n , for any $n \geq 2$.*

Proof: The following is a counter-example for cut-elimination in the system \mathbf{PL}_2 . Consider the sequent

$$u, z \multimap u, (z \multimap u) \multimap ((z \multimap u) \multimap ((z \multimap u) \multimap x)) \Longrightarrow x,$$

where u, z, x are atomic formulas.

It is easy to check that this sequent does not have a cut-free derivation in \mathbf{PL}_2 . On the other hand, witness the derivation of the same sequent obtained, as follows:

First, applying the left rule for \multimap to

$$z \multimap u \Longrightarrow z \multimap u \quad \text{and} \quad x \Longrightarrow x$$

yields:

$$z \multimap u, (z \multimap u) \multimap x \Longrightarrow x.$$

Further, applying twice the left rule for \multimap to the last obtained sequent and to the axiom

$$z \multimap u \Longrightarrow z \multimap u$$

gives:

$$(z \multimap u)^{(3)}, (z \multimap u) \multimap ((z \multimap u) \multimap ((z \multimap u) \multimap x)) \Longrightarrow x.$$

Next, using left 2-contraction rule results in:

$$(z \multimap u)^{(2)}, (z \multimap u) \multimap ((z \multimap u) \multimap ((z \multimap u) \multimap x)) \Longrightarrow x.$$

And finally, applying cut rule to the latter sequent and to the, clearly, \mathbf{PL}_2 -derivable sequent

$$u \Longrightarrow z \multimap u,$$

yields:

$$u, z \multimap u, (z \multimap u) \multimap ((z \multimap u) \multimap ((z \multimap u) \multimap x)) \Longrightarrow x.$$

However, the reader is invited to check, that for any $n \geq 2$ the sequent given below provides a counter-example for cut-elimination in \mathbf{PL}_n :

$$u, (z \multimap u)^{(n-1)}, (z \multimap u) \multimap ((z \multimap u) \multimap \dots \multimap (z \multimap u) \multimap x) \dots \Longrightarrow x,$$

where $(z \multimap u)$ occurs $(n + 1)$ -times in the indicated subformula of the antecedent.

◇

3 Many-valued Semantics

We now give a particular many-valued semantics for the systems considered in section 2 .

Given a natural number $n \geq 2$, we shall define a model for \mathbf{PL}_n , called $M_n(v)$, as follows.

1. Take the following set of values:

$$S_n = \{k/n \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, n\}.$$

A valuation function v assigns to each propositional letter an element of S_n .

2. Extend v to arbitrary \mathbf{PL}_n -formula inductively, as follows:

$$v(\sim A) = 1 - v(A)$$

$$v(A \sqcup B) = \max\{v(A), v(B)\}$$

$$v(A + B) = \min\{v(A) + v(B), 1\}$$

$$v(A \sqcap B) = \min\{v(A), v(B)\}$$

$$v(A \star B) = \max\{v(A) + v(B) - 1, 0\}$$

$$v(A \rightsquigarrow B) = \max\{1 - v(A), v(B)\}$$

$$v(A \multimap B) = \min\{1 - v(A) + v(B), 1\}.$$

3. v is extended to any \mathbf{PL}_n -sequent $A_1, \dots, A_m \Longrightarrow B_1, \dots, B_j$ putting:

$$v(A_1, \dots, A_m \Longrightarrow B_1, \dots, B_j) = v(\sim A_1 + \dots + \sim A_m + B_1 + \dots + B_j).$$

We shall say that a given sequent $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$ is true in the model $M_n(v)$ if and only if $v(\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta) = 1$. Moreover, a sequent $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$ is n -valid if and only if $v(\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta) = 1$ for all valuation functions v , i.e. if the sequent under consideration is true in every model $M_n(v)$. The class of all models $M_n(v)$ will be denoted by M_n .

Remark: Note that $v(A \sqcup B) = v(\sim(\sim A + B) + B)$. Moreover, $v(A_1, \dots, A_m \Longrightarrow B_1, \dots, B_j) = 1$ if and only if $v(A_1 \star \dots \star A_m) \leq v(B_1 + \dots + B_j)$.

Proposition 2 (Soundness) *Given a natural number $n \geq 2$ and a \mathbf{PL}_n -sequent $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$, if $\mathbf{PL}_n \vdash \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$, then $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$ is n -valid.*

Proof: By induction on the length of a derivation.

As an example, we will consider the case where the last applied rule within a given derivation is right n -copy contraction:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, \Delta}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A^{(n)}, \Delta}$$

First, observe that $v(A_1 + \dots + A_m) = \min\{v(A_1) + \dots + v(A_m), 1\}$. Hence, we get $v(A_1 + \dots + A_m) = 1$ if and only if $v(A_1) + \dots + v(A_m) \geq 1$. Now, by induction hypothesis the following holds for all v :

$$\sum_{i=1}^p (1 - v(\gamma_i)) + 2nv(A) + \sum_{j=1}^r v(\delta_j) \geq 1,$$

where $\Gamma = \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p$ and $\Delta = \delta_1, \dots, \delta_r$.

And we are going to show that, then also:

$$\sum_{i=1}^p (1 - v(\gamma_i)) + nv(A) + \sum_{j=1}^r v(\delta_j) \geq 1, \text{ for all } v.$$

In fact, one only has to observe, that for a given v :

- either $v(A) = 0$, then the two considered inequalities coincide and we are done;
- or $v(A) \geq 1/n$, thus, $nv(A) \geq 1$, and a fortiori $\sum_{i=1}^p (1 - v(\gamma_i)) + nv(A) + \sum_{j=1}^r v(\delta_j) \geq 1$.

Since v was arbitrary, our claim is justified.

◇

Lemma 3 *Given a natural number $n \geq 2$, neither left nor right $(n-1)$ -copy contraction is admissible in \mathbf{PL}_n .*

Proof: Let A_P denote a \mathbf{PL}_n -formula $P \sqcap \sim(n-1)P$, where P is a propositional letter and $(n-1)P = P + \dots + P$ with $(n-1)$ copies of P .

Note that:

$$v(A_P) = \begin{cases} 1/n & \text{if } v(P) = 1/n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Further, consider $(\sim A_P)^n$, where $X^n = X \star \dots \star X$ with n copies of X . Clearly,

$$v((\sim A_P)^n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v(P) = 1/n \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

And finally, the following sequent presents a counter-example for admissibility of right $(n-1)$ -copy contraction rule:

$$\Longrightarrow A_P^{(n-1)}, A_P^{(n-1)}, (\sim A_P)^n.$$

More precisely, observe that the given sequent is n -valid. But, clearly, $v(P) = 1/n$ is a refutation valuation for n -validity of the sequent

$$\Longrightarrow A_P^{(n-1)}, (\sim A_P)^n,$$

obtained by applying the right $(n-1)$ -copy contraction rule to the sequent above.

Similarly, one can find a counter-example for admissibility of the left $(n-1)$ -copy contraction rule.

◇

4 Axiomatic Completeness for Finite Models

In this section, our intention is to find an axiomatization of all n -valid \mathbf{PL}_n -sequents, for any $n \geq 2$. We shall start with the case $n = 2$.

Consider the system \mathbf{PL}_2 extended by the following

axiom-schemes

- (i) $2(B \sqcap \sim B) \sqcap (\sim C)^2 \implies 2((B \sqcup C) \sqcap \sim(B \sqcup C))$
 $2(B \sqcap \sim B) \sqcap 2(C \sqcap \sim C) \implies 2((B \sqcup C) \sqcap \sim(B \sqcup C))$
- (ii) $2(B \sqcap \sim B) \star 2(C \sqcap \sim C) \implies B + C$
 $2(B \sqcap \sim B) \star (\sim C)^2 \implies 2((B + C) \sqcap \sim(B + C))$
- (iii) $\implies P^2 \sqcup (2(P \sqcap \sim P))^2 \sqcup (\sim P)^2$, for P atomic

where $2X = X + X$ and $X^2 = X \star X$ for any \mathbf{PL}_2 -formula X . This system will be referred to as \mathbf{CPL}_2 .

We are going to prove that \mathbf{CPL}_2 is complete for the considered class of models M_2 . Later on, it will become clear to the reader that the axiom schemes stated in (i) and (ii) are, in fact, forced by the proof of lemma 5 and the axiom scheme in (iii) by the proof of lemma 6. For that purpose, we have to elaborate first the necessary prerequisites.

Definition 4 Let FPL_2 denote the set of all \mathbf{PL}_2 formulas and V_2 the set of all extended valuations on FPL_2 . We define a function $[\cdot, \cdot] : FPL_2 \times V_2 \longrightarrow FPL_2$, as follows:

$$[A, v] = \begin{cases} \sim A & \text{if } v(A) = 0 \\ 2(A \sqcap \sim A) & \text{if } v(A) = 1/2 \\ A & \text{if } v(A) = 1 \end{cases} \quad A \in FPL_2, v \in V_2.$$

Lemma 5 Given $A \in FPL_2$ containing exactly P_1, \dots, P_m distinct propositional letters and given $v \in V_2$, then

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash [P_1, v]^{(2)}, \dots, [P_m, v]^{(2)} \implies [A, v].$$

Proof: By induction on the complexity of A .

We shall here consider only one typical case for the connective $+$. Assume $A = B + C$, and $v(B) = v(C) = 1/2$. By induction hypothesis we have:

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash [R_1, v]^{(2)}, \dots, [R_k, v]^{(2)} \Longrightarrow 2(B \sqcap \sim B)$$

and

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash [Q_1, v]^{(2)}, \dots, [Q_n, v]^{(2)} \Longrightarrow 2(C \sqcap \sim C).$$

And from that by the right rule for \star :

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash [R_1, v]^{(2)}, \dots, [R_k, v]^{(2)}, [Q_1, v]^{(2)}, \dots, [Q_n, v]^{(2)} \Longrightarrow 2(B \sqcap \sim B) \star 2(C \sqcap \sim C)$$

If $\{R_1, \dots, R_k\} \cap \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \neq \emptyset$, then a number of left 2-copy contraction rule is to be applied, yielding:

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash [P_1, v]^{(2)}, \dots, [P_m, v]^{(2)} \Longrightarrow 2(B \sqcap \sim B) \star 2(C \sqcap \sim C)$$

where P_1, \dots, P_m are precisely all distinct propositional letters occurring in B and C , hence in A .

Finally, an application of the rule cut to the last obtained sequent and to the \mathbf{CPL}_2 axiom $2(B \sqcap \sim B) \star 2(C \sqcap \sim C) \Longrightarrow B + C$ yields:

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash [P_1, v]^{(2)}, \dots, [P_m, v]^{(2)} \Longrightarrow B + C,$$

what was to be shown, since $v(B + C) = 1$ for the given v .

◇

Lemma 6 *Given a \mathbf{PL}_2 -formula A , if $v(A) = 1$ for all $v \in V_2$, then*

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash \Longrightarrow A.$$

Proof: Assume that $A \in \mathbf{FPL}_2$ contains exactly P_1, \dots, P_m distinct propositional letters and that $v(A) = 1$ for all $v \in V_2$. Take, now, arbitrary $v', v'', v''' \in V_2$ such that $v'(P_1) = 1$, $v''(P_1) = 1/2$, $v'''(P_1) = 0$ and $v' = v'' = v''' = v$ on $\{P_2, \dots, P_m\}$. By lemma 5 we get:

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash P_1^{(2)}, [P_2, v]^{(2)}, \dots, [P_m, v]^{(2)} \Longrightarrow A,$$

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash (2(P_1 \sqcap \sim P_1))^{(2)}, [P_2, v]^{(2)}, \dots, [P_m, v]^{(2)} \Longrightarrow A$$

and

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash (\sim P_1)^{(2)}, [P_2, v]^{(2)}, \dots, [P_m, v]^{(2)} \Longrightarrow A.$$

Next, applying left rules for \star and \sqcup respectively yields:

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash P_1^2 \sqcup (2(P_1 \sqcap \sim P_1))^2 \sqcup (\sim P_1)^2, [P_2, v]^{(2)}, \dots, [P_m, v]^{(2)} \Longrightarrow A.$$

Finally, an application of cut rule to the last obtained sequent and to the axiom:

$$\Longrightarrow P_1^2 \sqcup (2(P_1 \sqcap \sim P_1))^2 \sqcup (\sim P_1)^2$$

yields:

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash [P_2, v]^{(2)}, \dots, [P_m, v]^{(2)} \Longrightarrow A,$$

where, clearly, v is arbitrary and the number of propositional letters in the antecedent of the sequent is reduced to $(m-1)$. Repeating the above strategy gives:

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash \Longrightarrow A,$$

what was to be proved. ◇

We need one more

Fact 7 $\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash A_1, \dots, A_k \Longrightarrow B_1, \dots, B_m$ if and only if

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash \Longrightarrow \sim A_1 + \dots + \sim A_k + B_1 + \dots + B_m.$$

Finally, we are well equipped to prove the main

Proposition 8 (Completeness) *If a \mathbf{PL}_2 -sequent $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$ is 2-valid, then $\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$.*

Proof: Assume a \mathbf{PL}_2 -sequent $A_1, \dots, A_k \Longrightarrow B_1, \dots, B_m$ to be 2-valid, i.e. $v(\sim A_1 + \dots + \sim A_k + B_1 + \dots + B_m) = 1$ for all $v \in V_2$. Then, by lemma 6 we get:

$$\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash \Longrightarrow \sim A_1 + \dots + \sim A_k + B_1 + \dots + B_m.$$

Using fact 7 yields: $\mathbf{CPL}_2 \vdash A_1, \dots, A_k \Longrightarrow B_1, \dots, B_m$ and we are done. ◇

In what follows, we are going to generalize the results just obtained. In other words, we shall below present an axiomatization of all n -valid \mathbf{PL}_n -sequents for an arbitrary natural number $n \geq 2$.

We first introduce

Definition 9 Given a natural number $n \geq 2$, let A be a \mathbf{PL}_n -formula. We shall define a \mathbf{PL}_n -formula $\langle k : n \rangle A$ for $k = 1, \dots, (n-1)$ with the property:

$$v(\langle k : n \rangle A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v(A) = k/n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $v \in V_n$.

The construction of $\langle k : n \rangle A$ is given inductively as follows:

(a) for $n = 2$ put $\langle 1 : 2 \rangle A = 2(A \sqcap \sim A)$.

(b) Assume, now, that $\langle k : i \rangle A$ has already been defined for all $i = 2, \dots, (n-1)$ and $k = 1, \dots, (i-1)$.

- Put $\langle 1 : n \rangle A = n(A \sqcap \sim(n-1)A)$ and $\langle (n-1) : n \rangle A = \langle 1 : n \rangle \sim A$.
- Assume, further, that $\langle m : n \rangle A$ has already been defined for all $m = 1, (n-1), 2, (n-2), \dots, (k-1), (n-(k-1))$, where $k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$.

Now define

$$\langle k : n \rangle A = \begin{cases} (\langle 1 : m \rangle A)^k & \text{if } n = mk \\ \langle (n-l) : n \rangle (mA) & \text{if } n = mk + l, 1 \leq l \leq (k-1) \end{cases}$$

Remark: It is a matter of patient, but straightforward, checking to see that the above construction is well-defined. Note however, that other possible constructions of \mathbf{PL}_n -formula with the distinguished property would yield equivalent formulations of the axiomatization in question.

Consider now the system \mathbf{PL}_n extended by the following

axiom-schemes:

(i) for $0 < k \leq m < n$:

$$\langle k : n \rangle B \sqcap \langle m : n \rangle C \implies \langle m : n \rangle (B \sqcup C)$$

(ii) for $0 < m < n$:

$$(\sim B)^n \sqcap \langle m : n \rangle C \Longrightarrow \langle m : n \rangle (B \sqcup C)$$

(iii) for $0 < k, m < n$:

$$\langle k : n \rangle B \star \langle m : n \rangle C \Longrightarrow \langle (k+m) : n \rangle (B + C), \quad \text{if } k+m < n$$

$$\langle k : n \rangle B \star \langle m : n \rangle C \Longrightarrow B + C, \quad \text{if } k+m \geq n$$

(iv) for $0 < m < n$:

$$(\sim B)^n \star \langle m : n \rangle C \Longrightarrow \langle m : n \rangle (B + C)$$

(v) for P atomic formula:

$$\Longrightarrow \sqcup_{k=1}^{n-1} (\langle k : n \rangle P)^n \sqcup P^n \sqcup (\sim P)^n$$

The system just introduced will be called \mathbf{CPL}_n .

Remark: The axiom-schemes given above are, again, forced by the proofs of lemmas analogous to those for the case $n = 2$. Moreover, to match the intuition given in the introduction, consider the axiom-schemes in (iii). Note, that the considered sequents are trivially true in all the models $M_n(v)$ except for those where $v(B) = k/n$ and $v(C) = m/n$. But, clearly in these cases, the valuation of the formula in succedent is 1 by definition. Hence, the axiom-schemes in (iii) correspond to the following statements:

if $v(B) = k/n$ and $v(C) = m/n$, then $v(B+C) = (k+m)/n$, when $k+m < n$ and $v(B+C) = 1$, otherwise.

In order to show that \mathbf{CPL}_n , for any $n \geq 2$, is indeed an axiomatization of all n -valid \mathbf{PL}_n -sequents, we proceed as follows.

Definition 10 Given a natural number $n \geq 2$, we define a function $[\cdot, \cdot]_n : FPL_n \times V_n \rightarrow FPL_n$ by:

$$[A, v]_n = \begin{cases} \sim A & \text{if } v(A) = 0 \\ \langle v(A)n : n \rangle A & \text{otherwise} \\ A & \text{if } v(A) = 1 \end{cases} \quad A \in FPL_n, v \in V_n.$$

We state the central

Lemma 11 *Given $A \in FPL_n$ containing exactly P_1, \dots, P_m distinct propositional letters and given $v \in V_n$, for some $n \geq 2$, then:*

$$\mathbf{CPL}_n \vdash [P_1, v]_n^{(n)}, \dots, [P_m, v]_n^{(n)} \implies [A, v]_n.$$

Proof: By induction on the complexity of A .

◇

Remark: The rest of the story, omitted here, proceeds similarly to the case $n = 2$, establishing the following

Proposition 12 (Completeness) *Let $n \geq 2$. If a \mathbf{PL}_n -sequent $\Gamma \implies \Delta$ is n -valid, then $\mathbf{CPL}_n \vdash \Gamma \implies \Delta$.*

5 Axiomatic Completeness for Infinite Models

In this section, we consider the intersection of the systems \mathbf{CPL}_n , for $n \geq 2$, denoted by $\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{CPL}_n$. We emphasize that $\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{CPL}_n$ refers to the set of theorems, i.e. to the sequents derivable in every system \mathbf{CPL}_n , for $n \geq 2$. First, we are going to show soundness and completeness of $\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{CPL}_n$ with respect to the class of infinite models, based on the set of rational numbers Q on the interval $[0, 1]$. Models based on $Q \cap [0, 1]$ are defined in the same way as the finite models in section 3, only that the set of values, S_{∞} , is now $Q \cap [0, 1]$. Moreover, if a given sequent is true in every model based on $Q \cap [0, 1]$ we shall say that this sequent is $Q \cap [0, 1]$ -valid.

Proposition 13 *A given sequent $\Gamma \implies \Delta$ is a theorem of $\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{CPL}_n$ if and only if $\Gamma \implies \Delta$ is $Q \cap [0, 1]$ -valid.*

Proof:

(i) soundness

Assume that a sequent $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$ is a theorem of $\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{CPL}_n$. We want to prove that $v(\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta) = 1$ for every valuation v based on $Q \cap [0, 1]$.

Observe first, that there are only finitely many propositional letters in $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$, say: P_1, \dots, P_j . Consider a valuation v based on $Q \cap [0, 1]$ such that $v(P_i) = k_i/m_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, j$. Let $m \geq 2$ be the least common multiple of $\{m_1, \dots, m_j\}$. Then, for every $i = 1, \dots, j$ there is some s_i such that $v(P_i) = s_i/m$. Now, we can see that $v|\{P_1, \dots, P_j\}$ is in fact the restriction of some valuation $v_m \in V_m$. Since $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$ is by assumption a theorem of \mathbf{CPL}_m , for all $m \geq 2$, and moreover, soundness, see proposition 2, implies m -validity, we get:

$$v(\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta) = v_m(\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta) = 1.$$

And this was to be proved, after having realized that the remaining case for $m = 1$ is trivial.

(ii) completeness

Suppose that $v(\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta) = 1$ for any v based on $Q \cap [0, 1]$. Given arbitrary $n \geq 2$, clearly any $v \in V_n$ is also a valuation based on $Q \cap [0, 1]$, hence $v(\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta) = 1$ for all $v \in V_n$. This means that the sequent under consideration is n -valid and by completeness, see proposition 12, we get: $\mathbf{CPL}_n \vdash \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$. Since n was arbitrary, it follows that $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$ is a theorem of $\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{CPL}_n$.

This completes the proof.

◇

It is a routine of universal algebra to provide the following by-product

Fact 14 *A given sequent $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$ is $Q \cap [0, 1]$ -valid if and only if $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$ is valid for the class of models $\prod_{n=2}^{\infty} M_n$.*

A natural question, which arises at this point, is whether there exists a finite axiomatization of $\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{CPL}_n$. The answer is positive. Clearly, the maximal deductive subsystem contained in every \mathbf{PL}_n , and thus, also in every \mathbf{CPL}_n , for $n \geq 2$, will be taken as the base of the axiomatization in question. Since there are no contraction rules left in the considered subsystem, we shall call it \mathbf{PL} .

Moreover, we claim that the system **PL** extended by the axiom-scheme:

$$\sim(\sim A + B) + B \implies A \sqcup B,$$

presents an axiomatization of $\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{CPL}_n$. We shall refer to this system as **CPL**.

In what follows, we reduce the proof of this assertion to the well-known result of Wajsberg (see Łukasiewicz, Tarski [1930]) who presented a Hilbert-style axiomatization of \mathbb{N}_0 -valued Łukasiewicz logic in the language $\langle \sim, \multimap \rangle$, using our notation. (An algebraic proof of the considered axiomatization, based on linearly ordered MV-algebras, was obtained by Chang in [1959].)

Wajsberg's axiomatization is as follows:

axiom-schemes:

1. $A \multimap (B \multimap A)$
2. $(A \multimap B) \multimap ((B \multimap C) \multimap (A \multimap C))$
3. $((A \multimap B) \multimap B) \multimap ((B \multimap A) \multimap A)$
4. $(\sim A \multimap \sim B) \multimap (B \multimap A)$

with the single rule of inference, modus ponens.

We shall refer to the above deductive system as **HW**.

From now on, we shall think of **HW** as a one-sided sequent calculus with axioms given by 1-4 and modus ponens having the form:

$$\frac{\implies A \quad \implies A \multimap B}{\implies B}$$

Further, we shall show that omitting the logical rules for the connective \sqcup from **PL**, but adding to the resulting system the axiom-scheme:

$$\sim(\sim A + B) + B \implies \sim(\sim B + A) + A,$$

yields a Gentzen-style formulation of **HW**, called **GW**.

In other words, we want to show that **GW** is complete for the same class of models as **HW**, i.e. for models based on $Q \cap [0, 1]$. Witness the next

Proposition 15 *The system **GW** is complete for the class of models based on $Q \cap [0, 1]$.*

Proof: Our proof will be based on the following simple observations:

- (i) **HW** is a subsystem of **GW**;
- (ii) **GW** is sound with respect to models based on $Q \cap [0, 1]$;
- (iii) in **GW** a given sequent $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \Longrightarrow B_1, \dots, B_{m-1}, B_m$ is provably equivalent with

$$\Longrightarrow A_1 \multimap (A_2 \multimap (\dots (A_k \multimap (\sim B_1 \multimap (\dots (\sim B_{m-1} \multimap B_m) \dots)) \dots)) \dots)$$

Assume now, that a given sequent $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \Longrightarrow B_1, \dots, B_{m-1}, B_m$ is $Q \cap [0, 1]$ -valid. Then, from (iii) and (ii) it follows, that also the sequent $\Longrightarrow A_1 \multimap (A_2 \multimap (\dots (A_k \multimap (\sim B_1 \multimap (\dots (\sim B_{m-1} \multimap B_m) \dots)) \dots)) \dots)$ is $Q \cap [0, 1]$ -valid. Thus, by completeness, the latter sequent is derivable in **HW** and hence, also in **GW** by (i). Finally, due to (iii), we get:

$$\mathbf{GW} \vdash A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \Longrightarrow B_1, \dots, B_{m-1}, B_m,$$

what was to be proved. ◇

To continue with:

Lemma 16 ***GW** is a subsystem of **CPL**.*

Proof: It is easy to see that:

$$\mathbf{CPL} \vdash \sim(\sim A + B) + B \Longrightarrow \sim(\sim B + A) + A.$$

◇

Definition 17 *A mapping s from **CPL**-formulas into **GW**-formulas is given inductively, as follows:*

- (i) $s(P) := P$, for any propositional letter P

$$(ii) \ s(\sim A) := \sim s(A)$$

$$(iii) \ s(A \sqcup B) := \sim(\sim s(A) + s(B)) + s(B)$$

$$(iv) \ s(A + B) := s(A) + s(B)$$

Lemma 18 *A given CPL-formula A is equivalent to $s(A)$ in the following sense: $\mathbf{CPL} \vdash A \implies s(A)$ and $\mathbf{CPL} \vdash s(A) \implies A$.*

Proof: By induction on the complexity of A .

To illustrate the proof we shall work out the crucial case:

$$\mathbf{CPL} \vdash s(C \sqcup D) \implies C \sqcup D.$$

First, by induction hypothesis we have:

$$\mathbf{CPL} \vdash s(C) \implies C$$

and

$$\mathbf{CPL} \vdash s(D) \implies D.$$

Applying twice $R\sqcup$ we get:

$$\mathbf{CPL} \vdash s(C) \implies C \sqcup D$$

and

$$\mathbf{CPL} \vdash s(D) \implies C \sqcup D.$$

And from that by $L\sqcup$:

$$\mathbf{CPL} \vdash s(C) \sqcup s(D) \implies C \sqcup D.$$

Finally, an application of CUT to the **CPL**-axiom

$$\sim(\sim s(C) + s(D)) + s(D) \implies s(C) \sqcup s(D)$$

and to the last obtained sequent above yields:

$$\sim(\sim s(C) + s(D)) + s(D) \implies C \sqcup D.$$

Since $s(C \sqcup D) = \sim(\sim s(C) + s(D)) + s(D)$ by definition, we are done.

◇

Finally, we are prepared to prove the claim mentioned earlier, and now stated by

Proposition 19 *A given \mathbf{PL}_n -sequent $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$ is a theorem of $\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{CPL}_n$ if and only if $\mathbf{CPL} \vdash \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$.*

Proof: First, assume that a sequent $A_1, \dots, A_m \Longrightarrow B_1, \dots, B_n$ is a theorem of $\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{CPL}_n$. Thus, by soundness, see proposition 13, the given sequent is $Q \cap [0, 1]$ -valid. Using the fact that $v(A \sqcup B) = v(\sim(\sim A + B) + B)$ for all v based on $Q \cap [0, 1]$, we know that the sequent

$$s(A_1), \dots, s(A_m) \Longrightarrow s(B_1), \dots, s(B_n)$$

remains $Q \cap [0, 1]$ -valid. Hence, by proposition 15,

$$\mathbf{GW} \vdash s(A_1), \dots, s(A_m) \Longrightarrow s(B_1), \dots, s(B_n).$$

And therefore, by lemma 16, also

$$\mathbf{CPL} \vdash s(A_1), \dots, s(A_m) \Longrightarrow s(B_1), \dots, s(B_n).$$

Due to lemma 18, we can now successively apply CUT to the last obtained sequent and to one of the \mathbf{CPL} -theorems $A_i \Longrightarrow s(A_i)$ and $s(B_j) \Longrightarrow B_j$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$, which yields:

$$\mathbf{CPL} \vdash A_1, \dots, A_m \Longrightarrow B_1, \dots, B_n.$$

One direction of the proposition has thus been verified. The other direction is left to the reader.

◇

6 Conclusion

The time has come to offer the reader a final discussion. First, observe, that our last proposition has been established essentially due to the fact that $A \sqcup B$ and $\sim(\sim A + B) + B$ coincide in the proposed models. This, moreover, shows that \sqcup can be defined in terms of $+$ and \sim within the systems that are complete for the indicated class of models. Thus, the above introduced

systems \mathbf{CPL}_n , $n \geq 2$ and \mathbf{CPL} , in fact, present *Gentzen-style formulation* of logics equivalent with finite and \aleph_0 -valued Łukasiewicz logics respectively. And as far as we know, only Grishin in [1976] accomplished a similar task for the 4-valued Łukasiewicz logic. It might be interesting to recall that Grishin's axiomatization includes restricted contraction rules, as well. In particular, in his system, but in our notation, only the formulas in one of the following forms: X^3 , $(X + X^2)^2$ or $X + X^2 + 2(\sim X)^2$ may be contracted.

Let us mention a particular perspicuity of Gentzen-style formulation for the considered logics. In Łukasiewicz, Tarski [1930], the authors especially emphasized that the introduced systems, nowadays referred to as Łukasiewicz logics, are only proper subsystems of propositional logic. From the corresponding Gentzen-style formulations, however, it becomes evident that this is due to the lack of full contraction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to A.S. Troelstra for having raised the question on bounded contraction and for his comments on this paper. I am also grateful to J.F.A.K van Benthem for his suggestions concerning the presentation of this paper. Further, I would like to thank H. Schellinx and R. Hoofman for their comments on the manuscript and my work in general. Last but not least, I owe my thanks to V. Sanchez and P. van Ulsen who drew my attention to some publications relevant to this paper.

REFERENCES

1. V. BOICESCU, A. FILIPOIU, G. GEORGESCU, S. RUDEANU, [1991], Łukasiewicz-Moisil Algebras (monograph), Annals of Discrete Mathematics 49, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
2. C.C. CHANG, [1958], Algebraic Analysis of Many Valued Logics, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 88, 467-490.
3. C.C. CHANG, [1959], A New Proof of the Completeness of the Łukasiewicz Axioms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 93, 74-80.
4. J.Y. GIRARD, [1987], Linear Logic, Theoretical Computer Science, 50, 1-101.
5. V.N. GRISHIN, [1976], On the Algebraic Semantics of a Logic without Contraction, in D.A. Bochvar, V.N. Grishin (eds.), Studies in Set Theory and Nonclassical Logics, Collection of Papers, Nauka, Moskva, 247-264.
6. J. ŁUKASIEWICZ, A. TARSKI, [1930], Untersuchungen über den Aussagenkalkül, in Alfred Tarski: Collected Papers, vol. 1, Basel (Birkhauser), 1986, 323-346.
7. J.B. ROSSER and A. TURQUETTE, [1945], Axiom Schemes For M-Valued Propositional Calculi, The Journal of Symbolic Logic, vol. 10, 61-82.
8. J.B. ROSSER and A.R. TURQUETTE, [1950], A note on the Deductive Completeness of M-Valued Propositional Calculi, The Journal of Symbolic Logic, vol. 14, 219-225.
9. J.B. ROSSER and A. TURQUETTE, [1952], Many-valued Logics, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
10. M. TOKARZ, [1974], A Method of Axiomatization of Łukasiewicz Logics, in Studia Logica, vol. 33, Ossolineum, 333-338.
11. A.S. TROELSTRA, [1992], Lectures on Linear Logic, CSLI Lecture Notes, No. 29, Stanford.
12. R. TUZIAK, [1988], An Axiomatization of the Finite-Valued Łukasiewicz Calculus, in Studia Logica, vol. 47, Ossolineum, 49-55.

The ILLC Prepublication Series

- CT-91-09 Ming Li, Paul M.B. Vitányi Combinatorial Properties of Finite Sequences with high Kolmogorov Complexity
 CT-91-10 John Tromp, Paul Vitányi A Randomized Algorithm for Two-Process Wait-Free Test-and-Set
 CT-91-11 Lane A. Hemachandra, Edith Spaan Quasi-Injective Reductions
 CT-91-12 Krzysztof R. Apt, Dino Pedreschi Reasoning about Termination of Prolog Programs
- Computational Linguistics*
 CL-91-01 J.C. Scholtes Kohonen Feature Maps in Natural Language Processing
 CL-91-02 J.C. Scholtes Neural Nets and their Relevance for Information Retrieval
 CL-91-03 Hub Prüst, Remko Scha, Martin van den Berg A Formal Discourse Grammar tackling Verb Phrase Anaphora
- Other Prepublications*
 X-91-01 Alexander Chagrov, Michael Zakharyashev The Disjunction Property of Intermediate Propositional Logics
 X-91-02 Alexander Chagrov, Michael Zakharyashev On the Undecidability of the Disjunction Property of Intermediate Propositional Logics
 X-91-03 V. Yu. Shavrukov Subalgebras of Diagonalizable Algebras of Theories containing Arithmetic
 X-91-04 K.N. Ignatiev Partial Conservativity and Modal Logics
 X-91-05 Johan van Benthem Temporal Logic
 X-91-06 Annual Report 1990
 X-91-07 A.S. Troelstra Lectures on Linear Logic, Errata and Supplement
 X-91-08 Giorgie Dzhaparidze Logic of Tolerance
 X-91-09 L.D. Beklemishev On Bimodal Provability Logics for Π_1 -axiomatized Extensions of Arithmetical Theories
 X-91-10 Michiel van Lambalgen Independence, Randomness and the Axiom of Choice
 X-91-11 Michael Zakharyashev Canonical Formulas for K4. Part I: Basic Results
 X-91-12 Herman Hendriks Flexibele Categoriale Syntaxis en Semantiek: de proefschriften van Frans Zwarts en Michael Moortgat
 X-91-13 Max I. Kanovich The Multiplicative Fragment of Linear Logic is NP-Complete
 X-91-14 Max I. Kanovich The Horn Fragment of Linear Logic is NP-Complete
 X-91-15 V. Yu. Shavrukov Subalgebras of Diagonalizable Algebras of Theories containing Arithmetic, revised version
 X-91-16 V.G. Kanovei Undecidable Hypotheses in Edward Nelson's Internal Set Theory
 X-91-17 Michiel van Lambalgen Independence, Randomness and the Axiom of Choice, Revised Version
 X-91-18 Giovanna Cepparello New Semantics for Predicate Modal Logic: an Analysis from a standard point of view
 X-91-19 Papers presented at the Provability Interpretability Arithmetic Conference, 24-31 Aug. 1991, Dept. of Phil., Utrecht University
1992
- Logic, Semantics and Philosophy of Language*
 LP-92-01 Victor Sánchez Valencia Lambek Grammar: an Information-based Categorical Grammar
 LP-92-02 Patrick Blackburn Modal Logic and Attribute Value Structures
 LP-92-03 Szabolcs Mikulás The Completeness of the Lambek Calculus with respect to Relational Semantics
 LP-92-04 Paul Dekker An Update Semantics for Dynamic Predicate Logic
 LP-92-05 David I. Beaver The Kinematics of Presupposition
 LP-92-06 Patrick Blackburn, Edith Spaan A Modal Perspective on the Computational Complexity of Attribute Value Grammar
 LP-92-07 Jeroen Groenendijk, Martin Stokhof A Note on Interrogatives and Adverbs of Quantification
 LP-92-08 Maarten de Rijke A System of Dynamic Modal Logic
 LP-92-09 Johan van Benthem Quantifiers in the world of Types
 LP-92-10 Maarten de Rijke Meeting Some Neighbours (a dynamic modal logic meets theories of change and knowledge representation)
 LP-92-11 Johan van Benthem A note on Dynamic Arrow Logic
 LP-92-12 Heinrich Wansing Sequent Calculi for Normal Modal Propositional Logics
 LP-92-13 Dag Westerståhl Iterated Quantifiers
 LP-92-14 Jeroen Groenendijk, Martin Stokhof Interrogatives and Adverbs of Quantification
- Mathematical Logic and Foundations*
 ML-92-01 A.S. Troelstra Comparing the theory of Representations and Constructive Mathematics
 ML-92-02 Dmitrij P. Skvortsov, Valentin B. Shehtman Maximal Kripke-type Semantics for Modal and Superintuitionistic Predicate Logics
 ML-92-03 Zoran Marković On the Structure of Kripke Models of Heyting Arithmetic
 ML-92-04 Dimiter Vakarelov A Modal Theory of Arrows, Arrow Logics I
 ML-92-05 Domenico Zambella Shavrukov's Theorem on the Subalgebras of Diagonalizable Algebras for Theories containing $\text{IA}_0 + \text{EXP}$
 ML-92-06 D.M. Gabbay, Valentin B. Shehtman Undecidability of Modal and Intermediate First-Order Logics with Two Individual Variables
 ML-92-07 Harold Schellinx How to Broaden your Horizon
 ML-92-08 Raymond Hoofman Information Systems as Coalgebras
 ML-92-09 A.S. Troelstra Realizability
 ML-92-10 V. Yu. Shavrukov A Smart Child of Peano's
- Computation and Complexity Theory*
 CT-92-01 Erik de Haas, Peter van Emde Boas Object Oriented Application Flow Graphs and their Semantics
 CT-92-02 Karen L. Kwast, Sieger van Denneheuvel Weak Equivalence: Theory and Applications
 CT-92-03 Krzysztof R. Apt, Kees Doets A new Definition of SLDNF-resolution
- Other Prepublications*
 X-92-01 Heinrich Wansing The Logic of Information Structures
 X-92-02 Konstantin N. Ignatiev The Closed Fragment of Dzhaparidze's Polymodal Logic and the Logic of Σ_1 conservativity
 X-92-03 Willem Groeneveld Dynamic Semantics and Circular Propositions, revised version
 X-92-04 Johan van Benthem Modeling the Kinematics of Meaning
 X-92-05 Erik de Haas, Peter van Emde Boas Object Oriented Application Flow Graphs and their Semantics, revised version
1993
- Mathematical Logic and Foundations*
 ML-93-01 Maciej Kandulski Commutative Lambek Categorical Grammars
 ML-93-02 Johan van Benthem, Natasha Alechina Modal Quantification over Structured Domains
 ML-93-03 Mati Pentus The Conjoinability Relation in Lambek Calculus and Linear Logic
 ML-93-04 Andreja Prijatelj Bounded Contraction and Many-Valued Semantics
- Computation and Complexity Theory*
 CT-93-01 Marianne Kalsbeek The Vanilla Meta-Interpreter for Definite Logic Programs and Ambivalent Syntax
- Other Prepublications*
 X-93-01 Paul Dekker Existential Disclosure, revised version